

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

09/463,525 01/26/2000 JEAN-MARIE BERNARD RN97085 6433 7590 03/18/2003 JEAN LOUIS SEUGENT RHODIA INC 259 PROSPECT PLAINS ROAD CN 7500	7590 03/18/2003 JEAN LOUIS SEUGENT RHODIA INC 259 PROSPECT PLAINS ROAD CN 7500 CRANBURY, NJ 08512-7500 RN97083 6433 EXAMINER SERGENT, RABON A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
JEAN LOUIS SEUGENT RHODIA INC 259 PROSPECT PLAINS ROAD CN 7500 EXAMINER SERGENT, RABON A	JEAN LOUIS SEUGENT RHODIA INC 259 PROSPECT PLAINS ROAD CN 7500 CRANBURY, NJ 08512-7500 EXAMINER SERGENT, RABON A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	09/463,525	01/26/2000	JEAN-MARIE BERNARD	RN97085	6433
RHODIA INC 259 PROSPECT PLAINS ROAD CN 7500 SERGENT, RABON A	RHODIA INC 259 PROSPECT PLAINS ROAD CN 7500 CRANBURY, NJ 08512-7500 READING SERGENT, RABON A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	75	90 03/18/2003			
259 PROSPECT PLAINS ROAD CN 7500 SERGENT, RABON A	259 PROSPECT PLAINS ROAD CN 7500 CRANBURY, NJ 08512-7500 SERGENT, RABON A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		SEUGENT	•	EXAMINER	
	CRANBURY, NJ 08512-7500 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	259 PROSPECT PLAINS ROAD			SERGENT, RABON A	
			II. 00510 8500		ARTIBUT	
1711					DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Bernard

Office Action Summary

Examiner

09/463,525

Art Unit 1711



		Rabon Sergent	1711	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addre	
	for Reply			
THE - Extension - Extension - If the - If NO - Failure	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the set of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the set of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the set of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	considered timely, g date of this commu	
earned	pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if timely filed, may red	duce any	
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 25, 2	2002		·
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗔	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosec rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 (cution as to the O.G. 213.	e merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 27, 29, 30, 32, 37-40, 43, 45-50, and 53	8-55 is/are	pending in the	application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn fr	om consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		s/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 27, 29, 30, 32, 37-40, 43, 45-50, and 53		s/are rejected.	
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			to.
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restrict	tion and/or elec	ction requirement.
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 💢	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected	d to by the Exa	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		b) \square disapprov	ed by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).	
	All b) Some* c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have			·
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National S	tage
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic)	
a) [1		7 •	
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		and/or 121.	
Attachme				
1) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	o(s).	Maria.
2) Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)	
3) Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/463,525 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification fails to provide antecedent basis for the subject matter within line 10 of claim 27. This issue is directly related to the first issue set forth within paragraph 3 of the Office action of June 4, 2002.

- 2. Claims 27, 29, 30, 32, 37-40, 43, 45-50, and 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant's specification is confusing for the following two reasons. According to parts of the specification, the claims, and applicant's arguments, the carboxylic function is either an acid or a salt; however, the definition of Z within formula I on page 18 indicates that the acid function is the least preferred. Clarification of these points is required. The examiner has carefully considered applicants' response; however, it is unclear how the response has clarified the issue. The argument concerning pages 9 and 10 of the specification does not correlate to the disclosure within pages 9 and 10. The "other" language within page 9, line 26 appears to be concerned with the group that is reactive with the isocyanate group or the other masking agent; the language does not appear to teach that acid groups should not be used.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1711

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

4. Claims 27, 29, 30, 32, 37-40, 43, 45-50, and 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 56167.

The reference discloses the combined use of carboxylic function containing masking agents and conventional masking agents to block polyisocyanates, which are to be used in powder coating compositions. See pages 9-11 of the translation. Despite applicant's arguments, the claimed ratio of carboxylic function to isocyanate group is clearly embodied by the formula on page 9 and the disclosed reactant species. For example, the most basic interpretation of the formula and species leads one to a ratio of 1:2, which is within the claimed range. Further, the examiner finds no difference in the way the respective blocked polyisocyanate compositions are produced. Furthermore, the claimed glass transition temperature is considered to be an inherent property of the disclosed composition. This position is logical in view of the use of comparable reactants in comparable amounts to produce the respective compositions.

5. The examiner has considered applicants' response; however, applicants' response appears to be primarily a statement that the respective inventions are different. Applicants have not provided evidence or clear rationale, by such means as systematically comparing the instant invention to the prior art, to support their position.

Application/Control Number: 09/463,525 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREF.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent

March 14, 2003